## UPSC Civil Services Main 1992 - Mathematics Linear Algebra

## Brij Bhooshan

## Asst. Professor B.S.A. College of Engg & Technology Mathura

**Question 1(a)** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be vector spaces over a field K and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be of finite dimension. Let  $\mathbf{T}: \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$  be a linear transformation, prove that  $\dim \mathcal{V} = \dim \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V}) + \dim \operatorname{nullity} \mathbf{T}$ .

Solution. See question 3(a), year 1998.

**Question 1(b)** Let  $S = \{(x, y, z) \mid x + y + z = 0, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Prove that S is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Find a basis of S.

**Solution.**  $S \neq \emptyset$  because  $(0,0,0) \in S$ . If  $(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in S$  then  $\alpha_1(x_1, y_1, z_1) + \alpha_2(x_2, y_2, z_2) \in S$  because  $(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) + (\alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2) + (\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2) = \alpha_1(x_1 + y_1 + z_1) + \alpha_2(x_2 + y_2 + z_2) = 0$ . Thus S is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Clearly  $(1, 0, -1), (1, -1, 0) \in \mathcal{S}$  and are linearly independent. Thus dim  $\mathcal{S} \ge 2$ . However  $(1, 1, 1) \notin \mathcal{S}$ , so  $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathbb{R}^3$ . Thus dim  $\mathcal{S} = 2$  and  $\{(1, 0, -1), (1, -1, 0)\}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Question 1(c)** Which of the following are linear transformations?

1.  $\mathbf{T}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $\mathbf{T}(x) = (2x, -x)$ .

- 2.  $\mathbf{T}: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $\mathbf{T}(x, y) = (xy, y, x)$ .
- 3.  $\mathbf{T}: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $\mathbf{T}(x, y) = (x + y, y, x)$ .
- 4.  $\mathbf{T}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $\mathbf{T}(x) = (1, -1)$ .

Solution.

1 For more information log on www.brijrbedu.org. Copyright By Brij Bhooshan @ 2012. 1.

$$\mathbf{T}(\alpha x + \beta y) = (2\alpha x + 2\beta y, -\alpha x - \beta y)$$
  
=  $(2\alpha x, -\alpha x) + (2\beta y, -\beta y)$   
=  $\alpha \mathbf{T}(x) + \beta \mathbf{T}(y)$ 

Thus  $\mathbf{T}$  is a linear transformation.

2.  $\mathbf{T}(2(1,1)) = \mathbf{T}(2,2) = (4,2,2) \neq 2\mathbf{T}(1,1) = 2(1,1,1)$  Thus **T** is not a linear transformation.

3.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}(\alpha(x_{1},y_{1})+\beta(x_{2}+y_{2})) &= \mathbf{T}(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2},\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2}) \\ &= (\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}+\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2},\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2},\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}) \\ &= \alpha(x_{1}+y_{1},y_{1},x_{1})+\beta(x_{2}+y_{2},y_{2},x_{2}) \\ &= \alpha \mathbf{T}(x_{1},y_{1})+\beta \mathbf{T}(x_{2},y_{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathbf{T}$  is a linear transformation.

4.  $\mathbf{T}(2(0,0)) = \mathbf{T}(0,0) = (1,-1) \neq 2\mathbf{T}(0,0)$  Thus **T** is not a linear transformation.

Question 2(a) Let  $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{M}_{2,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2,3}$  be a linear transformation defined by (with the usual notation)

$$\mathbf{T}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}2 & 1 & 3\\4 & 1 & 5\end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{T}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}6 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 2\end{pmatrix}$$

Find  $\mathbf{T}\begin{pmatrix} x\\ y \end{pmatrix}$ .

Solution.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - y \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + y \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{T} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = (x-y) \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} + y \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x+4y & x & 3x-3y \\ 4x-4y & x-y & 5x-3y \end{pmatrix}$$

2 For more information log on www.brijrbedu.org. Copyright By Brij Bhooshan @ 2012. Question 2(b) For what values of  $\eta$  do the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} x+y+z &= 1\\ x+2y+4z &= \eta\\ x+4y+10z &= \eta^2 \end{aligned}$$

have a solution? Solve them in each case.

**Solution.** Since the determinant of the coefficient matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$  is 0, the system has to be consistent to be solvable.

Clearly x + 4y + 10z = 3(x + 2y + 4z) - 2(x + y + z). Thus for the system to be consistent we must have  $\eta^2 = 3\eta - 2$ , or  $\eta = 1, 2$ .

If  $\eta = 1$ , then x + y + z = 1, x + 2y + 4z = 1 so y + 3z = 0, or y = -3z, x = 1 + 2z. Thus the space of solutions is  $\{(1 + 2z, -3z, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Note that the rank of the coefficient matrix is 2, and consequently the space of solutions is one dimensional.

If  $\eta = 2$ , then x + y + z = 1, x + 2y + 4z = 2, so y + 3z = 1 or y = 1 - 3z, hence x = 2z. Consequently, the space of solutions is  $\{(2z, 1 - 3z, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

Question 2(c) Prove that a necessary and sufficient condition of a real quadratic form x'Ax to be positive definite is that the leading principal minors of A are all positive.

**Solution.** Let all the principal minors be positive. We have to prove that the quadratic form is positive definite. We prove the result by induction.

If n = 1, then  $a_{11}x^2 > 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{11} > 0$ . Suppose as induction hypothesis the result is true for n = m. Let  $\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{B}'_1 & k \end{pmatrix}$  be a matrix of a quadratic form in m + 1 variables, where  $\mathbf{B}$  is  $m \times m$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_1$  is  $m \times 1$  and k is a single element. Since all principle minors of  $\mathbf{B}$  are leading principal minors of  $\mathbf{S}$ , and are hence positive, the induction hypothesis gives that  $\mathbf{B}$ is positive definite. This means that there exists a non-singular  $m \times m$  matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  such that  $\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{BP} = \mathbf{I}_m$  (We shall prove this presently). Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be an *m*-rowed column to be determined soon. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}' & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{C}' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{B}'_1 & k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{P} & \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{P} & \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{C} + k \end{pmatrix}$$

Let C be so chosen that  $\mathbf{BC} + \mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ , or  $\mathbf{C} = -\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_1$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}' & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{C}' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{B}'_1 & k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}' \mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B}'_1 \mathbf{C} + k \end{pmatrix}$$

Taking determinants, we get  $|\mathbf{P}'||\mathbf{S}||\mathbf{P}| = \mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{C} + k$ , because  $\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}_m$ , and  $\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{C} + k$  is a single element. Since  $|\mathbf{S}| > 0$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{C} + k > 0$ , so let  $\mathbf{B}'_1\mathbf{C} + k = \alpha^2$ . Then  $\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}_{m+1}$  with  $\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus the quadratic forms of  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{I}_{m+1}$  take the same values. Hence  $\mathbf{S}$  is positive definite, so the condition is sufficient. The condition is necessary - Since  $\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$  is positive definite, there is a non-singular matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  such that  $\mathbf{P}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I} \Rightarrow |\mathbf{A}| |\mathbf{P}|^2 = 1 \Rightarrow |\mathbf{A}| > 0.$ 

Let  $1 \leq r < n$ . Let  $x_{r+1} = \ldots = x_n = 0$ , then we obtain a quadratic form in r variables which is positive definite. Clearly the determinant of this quadratic form is the  $r \times r$  principal minor of **A** which shows the result.

Proof of the result used: Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be positive definite, then there exists a non-singular  $\mathbf{P}$  such that  $\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$ .

We will prove this by induction. If n = 1, then the form corresponding to **A** is  $a_{11}x^2$  and  $a_{11} > 0$ , so that  $\mathbf{P} = (\sqrt{a_{11}})$ .

Take

$$\mathbf{P_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a_{11}^{-1}a_{12} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & (n-1) \times (n-1) \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\mathbf{P_1'AP_1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & & & & \\ a_{13} & & & \\ \vdots & & (n-1) \times (n-1) \\ a_{1n} & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Repeating this process, we get a non-singular  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that

$$\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & (n-1) \times (n-1) & \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Given the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix on the lower right, we get by induction  $\mathbf{P}^*$  s.t.  $\mathbf{P}^{*'}((n-1) \times (n-1) \text{ matrix})\mathbf{P}^*$  is diagonal. Thus  $\exists \mathbf{P}, |\mathbf{P}| \neq 0, \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{AP} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  say. Take  $\mathbf{R} = \text{diagonal}[\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}]$ , then  $\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{APR} = \mathbf{I_n}$ .

**Question 3(a)** State the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and use it to find the inverse of  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Solution.** Let **A** be an  $n \times n$  matrix. If  $|\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}| = \lambda^n + a_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n = 0$  is the characteristic equation of **A**, then the Cayley-Hamilton theorem says that  $\mathbf{A}^n + a_1 \mathbf{A}^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}$  i.e. a matrix satisfies its characteristic equation.

The characteristic equation of  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & 1\\ 4 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 2 = 0$$

4 For more information log on www.brijrbedu.org. Copyright By Brij Bhooshan @ 2012. By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem,  $\mathbf{A}^2 - 5\mathbf{A} + 2\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A} - 5\mathbf{I}) = -2\mathbf{I}$ , thus  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} =$  $-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}-5\mathbf{I})$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Question 3(b) Transform the following into diagonal form

$$x^2 + 2xy, 8x^2 - 4xy + 5y^2$$

and give the transformation employed.

Solution. Let  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ Let  $0 = |\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{B}| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - 8\lambda & 1 + 2\lambda \\ 1 + 2\lambda & -5\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -5\lambda + 40\lambda^2 - 4\lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 1$ 

Thus  $36\lambda^2 - 9\lambda - 1 = 0$ , so  $\lambda = \frac{9\pm\sqrt{81+144}}{72} = \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{12}$ . Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  be the vector such that  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{B}) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$  with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ . Thus  $-\frac{5}{3}x_1 + \frac{5}{3}x_2 = \frac{1}{3}$ .  $0 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$ . We take  $\mathbf{x_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  so that  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{B})\mathbf{x_1} = \mathbf{0}$  with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ . Similarly, if  $(x_1, x_2)$  is the vector such that  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{B})\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$  with  $\lambda = -\frac{1}{12}$ , then  $\frac{5}{3}x_1 + \frac{5}{6}x_2 = 0$ , so  $2x_1 + x_2 = 0$ . We take  $\mathbf{x_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Now

$$\mathbf{x_1'Ax_1} = (11)(\frac{1}{10})(\frac{1}{1}) = (11)(\frac{2}{1}) = 3 \mathbf{x_2'Ax_2} = (1-2)(\frac{1}{10})(\frac{1}{-2}) = (1-2)(\frac{-1}{1}) = -3 \mathbf{x_1'Ax_2} = (11)(\frac{1}{10})(\frac{1}{-2}) = (11)(\frac{-1}{1}) = 0$$

If  $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{x_1} \ \mathbf{x_2})$ , then  $\mathbf{P'AP} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ , thus  $x^2 + 2xy \approx 3X^2 - 3Y^2$  by  $\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Similarly

$$\mathbf{x_1'Bx_1} = (11) \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (11) \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = 9 \mathbf{x_2'Bx_2} = (1-2) \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = (1-2) \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -12 \end{pmatrix} = 36 \mathbf{x_1'Bx_2} = (11) \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = (11) \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -12 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Thus  $\mathbf{P'BP} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 36 \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $8x^2 - 4xy + 5y^2$  is transformed to  $9X^2 + 36Y^2$  by  $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ 

Question 3(c) Prove that the characteristic roots of a Hermitian matrix are all real, and the characteristic roots of a skew Hermitian matrix are all zero or pure imaginary.

Solution. For Hermitian matrices, see question 2(c), year 1995.

If **H** is skew-Hermitian, then  $i\mathbf{H}$  is Hermitian, because  $\overline{(i\mathbf{H})} = \overline{i\mathbf{H}}' = -i\overline{\mathbf{H}}' = i\mathbf{H}$  as  $\mathbf{H} = -\overline{\mathbf{H}}'$ . Thus the eigenvalues of  $i\mathbf{H}$  are real. Therefore the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{H}$  are -ixwhere  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . So they must be 0 (if x = 0) or pure imaginary.